



Antifascist Silence And Fallacies

Haereticus

ooooooo

The Matter Of Silence

In the matter of Joshua Sutter - the well-paid FBI agent provocateur - and his Martinet Press, and also his testimony at the trial of Kaleb Cole, the antifascist 'investigate journalists' and antifascists themselves have failed to answer questions previously asked of them, even though they have based their entire post-2018 anti-O9A narrative and campaign (i) around Sutter's black propaganda - which includes his Martinet Press books - and (ii) on his FBI supported infiltration of an America neo-nazi group and his years-long incitement to get young men to commit crimes including terrorism, paedophilia, and rape and (iii) on him associating the O9A with such crimes.

Since the post-2018 anti-O9A campaign of antifascists and of antifascist 'investigate journalists' included trying to get the O9A banned by governments as a 'terrorist organization' which incited criminal activities, and given their public moral outrage at what they claimed were the immoral beliefs and activities of the O9A, you would think that they would be interested in finding out the truth by seeking answers to important questions. But no; when asked such questions they just kept repeating their anti-O9A narrative which vilely smeared certain known individuals.

A few of the important questions antifascist 'investigate journalists' should answer and were repeatedly asked to answer are:

1. Why have they never bothered to find and interview Sutter since they based their post-2018 anti-O9A narrative and campaign around Sutter's black propaganda, incitement, and 'undercover' activities?
2. Why have they never investigated the origins and profits of Sutter's Martinet Press and discovered where the profits went and why Sutter is not being prosecuted for his failure to pay tax in regard to those profits?
3. Why have they never investigated who authored the pseudonymous books that Martinet Press published; whether the authors were paid to write them and if so who paid them; and whether the authors received any of the profits made by Martinet Press?
4. Why have they never made any comments about Sutter's testimony at the criminal trial in 2021 of Kaleb Cole and asked (i) why did Sutter travel around America on FBI expenses, use surveillance equipment, and go 'undercover' for years, since those are not the actions of someone they claim is a mere 'confidential informant' but rather the actions of a paid agent provocateur, and (ii) why was Sutter paid a living-wage of US \$78,133.20 plus expenses of \$4,378.60 by the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020, and (iii) was he still being paid by the FBI before and after the trial of Kaleb Cole.
5. Why have they never, in the matter of the O9A, bothered to (i)

Follow the evidence: no **Order of Nine Angles/O9A** text prior to Sutter/Martinet Press condoned or incited the disgusting things which Sutter, his ToB, or Martinet books incited/condoned. If anyone believes otherwise provide citations from O9A texts prior to Sutter.

and (ii) even though as noted in *Order Of Nine Angles Subculture: Following The Evidence*,

"it was an easy task given the existence of such freely available compilations as (i) the seventh edition of *Definitive Guide To The Order of Nine Angles*, compiled in 2015 and consisting of 1460 pages, {1} and (ii) an archive of the now-defunct O9A website dated January 2012 and consisting of 1135 pages, {2} which compilations provide an extensive archive of O9A texts which date from before Sutter began spreading his black propaganda." {3}

6. Why have they never investigated Sutter's activities during and prior to 2014, before and shortly after he founded Martinet Press and his pretendu 'O9A chapter' he hilariously called the tempel ov blood?

For instance, it was Sutter who publicly disclosed the real name of the founder of what was claimed to be an Australian O9A nexion following a 2014 internet spat during which the founder was accused by some O9A folk of being one of the 'O9A pretendu crowd'. {4} Sutter's intent, apparently, was to curry favour with the O9A 'Old Guard' and get them to publicly support both Martinet Press and his pretendu nexion, which the Old Guard did not do just as they did not corresponded with him since they were already aware of who was behind Martinet Press. Just prior to that disclosure by Sutter of the identity of that founder, Sutter had in January and February of 2014 duplicitly encouraged the Australian to post a piece of propaganda titled *Child Pornography and the o9a* on a 'satanic' internet forum (the 600club); which propaganda was laughed at by even non-O9A 'satanists' but which was a trial run for Sutter's later torrent of 'black propaganda' designed to discredit the O9A.

In addition, a few years earlier Sutter through an intermediary and at the behest of his FBI 'handlers' tried to arrange a meeting with both Anton Long and Myatt in England in the hope of 'drawing them out'. The attempt failed even though the intermediary was an academic who had received a Degree from a Canadian university and was in contact with the lady who founded an American O9A nexion in the late aughts. According to information we received, the academic, at the behest of the FBI, would later go on to write the Martinet Press book *The Devil's Quran* published in 2014.

7. Why have they never answered a question relating to Mr Myatt whom they all religiously believe, without any evidential proof, is 'Anton Long' and thus the alleged founder of the O9A. {5} Our question derives from what one of them wrote in early 2022: that the CIA found some of Myatt's Islamic writings in the possession of bin Laden following bin Laden's killing by a team of American Navy Seals in 2011.

When SEAL Team Six cleared Osama bin Laden’s Abbottabad compound in the frantic early morning raid after they killed the al Qaeda leader, they grabbed every document and hard drive that could reveal details about the terror network.

The question is: if the claim is true, did Myatt know or was he in contact with bin Laden? At the very least his Islamic writings were highly regarded by some Muslims, including it seems by Osama bin Laden. If the claim is false, then why are the CIA linking Myatt with bin Laden? The failure to answer the question is perhaps because, however they answer it, the CIA claim of a link between Myatt and bin Laden bolsters Myatt's image, with the only other option - that the journalist who reported the CIA claim was lying - harming the reputation of one of their own.

Their silence in respect of such questions has led many to conclude that for all their claims about being independent, 'investigative', freelance, or whatever, that they are now if they were not so in the past just another part of, savants of, {5} the Establishment.

↔

- {1} https://archive.org/download/definitive-guide-to-the-order-of-nine-angles_202201/definitive-guide-to-the-order-of-nine-angles.pdf
- {2} <https://archive.org/download/o-9-a-website-archive-2012/O9A-Website-Archive-2012.pdf>
- {3} <https://archive.org/download/o9a-follow-the-evidence-v1/o9a-follow-the-evidence-v1.pdf>
- {4} Several articles in the compilation *Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders* - including *The Outing of Kris McDermott* - contain screenshots and quotations from the 2014 spat: <https://archive.org/download/o9a-pretenders/o9a-pretenders.pdf>
- {5} *Savant* is O9A-speak for someone who is supine and/or who appeases or who soothes their master or masters, often by agreeing with them or doing their bidding. The usage is from the Icelandic *sefa*.

oooooo

The Matter Of Fallacies

οὐκ οἶδ’ ἐφ’ οἷς γὰρ μὴ φρονῶ σιγᾶν φιλῶ

Those who at the time followed or subsequently read compilations of spats - disputations - between opponents and supporters of O9A philosophy on internet forums or more recently on 'social media', may have noticed just how often O9A opponents, especially antifascists, commit fallacies of reasoning. {1}{2}

We could be generous and suggest that some of those committing such fallacies - such as some journalists - do so for propagandistic reasons based on an arrogant belief that most people will not notice their flawed arguments, and/or on their fanatical desire to convince people that their phantasmagorical O9A really does exist. But to be honest, based on the evidence, we just cannot give them the benefit of the doubt in this matter.

In regard to the disputations themselves, despite what many - both pro-O9A and anti-O9A - have written over the past forty years and even now write about such seeming ephemera, they were/are just one tactical means whereby what

is/was O9A and germane to the O9A might be presented in cyberspace to perhaps cause (i) what is exoterically designated by the term 'Order of Nine Angles' to come to the attention of one or two possibly promising individuals, and/or (ii) to add to the confusion, perplexity, and annoyance, of others, and/or (iii) reveal the physis of those who were/are opponents of the O9A. {2}

As a useful guide for readers we list here the most common fallacies committed for over forty years by opponents and critics of the O9A who also repeat ad nauseam cliché after cliché and disproven claim after disproven claim.

1. The fallacy of illicit transference. This is when a generalization is made from a few specific instances or examples with the generalization used to pejoratively describe the subject under discussion: as in someone citing a few instances when some persons alleged to have been influenced by the O9A have committed crimes and then claiming that the O9A is responsible for such crimes or incites such crimes.

Sometimes the persons/criminals are described as being actual members of the O9A itself, and/or as being the leader or a member of some so-called O9A nexion, cell, or chapter - for an example, see Appendix I - whereas:

"the reality is (i) that the O9A is a subculture which individuals can and do self-identify with in whole or in part, and (ii) has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members, and (iii) has no official, sanctioned, nexions, cells, or chapters with anyone or any group of friends free to call themselves an O9A nexion, cell, or chapter." {3}

Furthermore, no subculture can be held responsible for the actions, criminal or otherwise, of those who self-identify with that subculture, just as the Gospels are not responsible for Christian priests or ministers who commit crimes.

2. Argumentum ad hominem, which belongs to the category *ignoratio elenchi*. Argumentum ad hominem is when the character and/or the motives ad/or the identity of the person presenting an argument is/are maligned or called into question often in an attempt to deflect attention away from the topic being discussed.

In the case of the O9A this often happens when an opponent of the O9A has been asked to cite specific pre-2015 O9A texts which incite what the opponent claims the O9A incites, such as sexual abuse and misogyny.

I've asked many times for anyone to cite where **Order of Nine Angles** primary sources condone sexual abuse or misogyny or what Sutter promoted. No one has. Such sources promote an anti-patriarchal ethos and sapphism among other things.

One of the most common ad hominem used by O9A opponents in an attempt to distract attention away from their failure to answer questions and/or their failure to provide evidence in support of their claim(s), is to accuse their adversary of being Myatt, with some discussants even going on to address their adversary as 'Dave'.

You're not as smart as you think you are Dave.

11:36 pm · 18 Apr 2022 · Twitter Web App

See also The Aquino Fallacy, below.

3. Ad Populum. This is when a person 'follows the crowd' and believes or claims that because so many others believe or claim something it must be right. A typical example is when an O9A opponent claims that Myatt is/must be Anton Long because so many people have said or written he is or believe he is.

4. Appeal To Authority. Also known as argumentum ad verecundiam. Rather than undertaking their own scholarly research using primary sources {4} this is when someone references what others have said or written about a matter because they believe or claim that those others are 'reliable sources' or authorities on the matter.

A modern example of the fallacy of Appeal To Authority is citing the Wikipedia article about the O9A despite the reality being that what Wikipedia uses as a so-called 'reliable source' is not scholarly research using primary sources but (i) articles published in mainstream newspapers (printed and digital) and (ii) printed books written by journalists and others which are published by mainstream publishers even if the authors of such books and articles commit fallacies of reasoning such as the appeal to authority and the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence. That is, for Wikipedia the criteria is not evidential facts established by unbiased scholarly research but the sources being published or broadcast by mainstream, established, mediums, which thus makes Wikipedia reproduce or cite propaganda, opinion-pieces, and authors who commit fallacies of reasoning. In the case of the O9A, Wikipedia is an unreliable source since it cites articles and items which claim such things as (i) 'members' of the O9A or of an O9A nexion have committed certain crimes and (ii) that the O9A has incited and condoned such crimes, even though (i) since the O9A is an esoteric philosophy now presented as a subculture there are not and have never been any O9A members or official nexions and (ii) such cited articles and items provide no evidence whatsoever from O9A primary sources regarding inciting and condoned such crimes.

Another example of the fallacy is citing what others, including academics, have written about Myatt - especially about

him being Anton Long - even though the authors of such sources have themselves committed fallacies and/or have not undertaken their own scholarly research using primary sources, facts revealed for instance in the text *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long* {5}.

5. Incomplete/Suppressed Evidence. This is when evidence which disproves or may disprove a claim or conclusion is not considered either deliberately (suppressed evidence) or because of a lack of detailed and scholarly research. A example in respect of the O9A is the research of Jacob Senholt which formed a chapter in a book about Satanism {6} and which chapter has been cited as 'authoritative' in regard to the O9A with those thus citing it committing the fallacy of Appeal To Authority. Senholt's claims, based on a lack of detailed and scholarly research, have been debunked in *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long* {5} an extract from which is provided in Appendix II.

6. The Aquino Fallacy

This is when a disputant claims that the pseudonymous Anton Long, architect of the O9A and author of the texts which are the primary sources of O9A esotericism, is or must be David Myatt. It is a fallacy - defective reasoning - because it is an unproven assumption originating in either a personal, irrational, prejudice or in the acceptance of other fallacies such as ad populum and argumentum ad verecundiam.

Part of this fallacy is the claim that since one or more ONA blogs or websites, or some articles, use the same or similar styling and/or layout as some blog or website or article by or assumed to be by Myatt, it means that Myatt is behind them all - clearly ignoring the obvious fact that such similarities, if not just coincidental, could well be a deliberate imitation designed to get mundanes to jump to such a silly, fallacious, conclusion.

A recent example of this fallacy was provided in the section titled *The O9A Manual Of Style And The Aquino Fallacy* in issue 7 of Omega9Alpha News, June 2022 ev. {7}

No Scholarly Research

The reality is that in over forty years no one - journalist, academic, or author - has researched the O9A in a scholarly manner using primary sources. {4} Those who have written about the O9A have committed one of more of the above fallacies, and/or written opinion-pieces and provided no evidential facts in support of their opinion, and/or like a certain antifascist advocacy group have produced propaganda laced with lies, {8} and/or as in the recent case of a certain government-funded policy institute just told fibs such as blandly stating the O9A has "violent ritualistic initiation practices" and providing as a 'citation' the propagandistic, laughable: "O9A text. Title withheld for public safety reasons." {9}

As noted in our recent *How To Tell Fibs And Influence People* what is indicated by all this:

"is the astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the populace and among the educated professional class; an astonishing acceptance of propaganda by the majority, and an astonishing lack of scholarship in academia; and astonishing because of the well-established tertiary educational system of Western societies and the mandatory schooling of children.

Such an astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the peoples of the West after thousands of years of civilization is an indictment of modern government, an indictment of the modern State; an indictment of mass urban living; and an indictment of the modern educational system. It is also an example of how the causal abstractions now embedded in ideologies and political parties as well as in the educational system have triumphed over pathei-mathos and the virtues of καλὸς καὶγαθός." {9}

↔

{1} Appendix I provides extracts from a recent exchange of comments on a 'social media' platform between a journalist and a supporter of O9A philosophy.

Two fairly recent spats with journalists are available in the compilation *Countering The Prejudice Of Antifascists*: (i) *A Guardian Of The Anti-Fascist Narrative*, pp.49-53, and (ii) *Antifascist Hatred Of David Myatt Exposed*, pp.59-77. The compilation is available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20220523105558/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/antifascist-prejudice-v3a.pdf>

Extracts from older spats are available (pp.44ff) in *Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders*, <https://archive.org/download/o9a-pretenders/o9a-pretenders.pdf>

{2} Since some O9A folk are - as is consistent with O9A aesthetics - japers by nature it is perhaps pertinent to quote the following, published in 2015:

"The opining about the O9A by plebeians has provided - and continues to provide - the occult cognoscenti with some wry amusement. Such opining plebeians have also, as mentioned previously, contributed - even if only in a minor, short-lived, manner - to the Labyrinthos Mythologicus of the Order of Nine Angles; providing as their posts and opining do yet one more means to distinguish those who are already, or who possess the potential to become, one of the occult cognoscenti and who therefore can find their way through the

labyrinthine labyrinth that surrounds the O9A and thus solve the enigma that is the O9A by, for example, a years-long following an O9A praxis or through a scholarly study of esotericism and O9A texts." *Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders*, op.cit.

{3} The reality is (i) that the O9A is a subculture which individuals can and do self-identify with in whole or in part, and (ii) being a subculture, it has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members, and (iii) has no official, sanctioned, nexions, cells, or chapters with anyone or any group of friends free to call themselves an O9A nexion, cell, or chapter.

O9A subculture is described in the 1520 page compilation *Order Of Nine Angles Subculture: A Complete Guide*, available at https://archive.org/download/subculture_complete/o9a-subculture-complete.pdf

{4} By scholarly is meant: (i) meticulous research focused on a specific matter or topic undertaken over a period of at least a year using primary source material; and (ii) a reasoned, unbiased, assessment of the knowledge acquired by such research, with one's conclusions about the matter or topic being logically derived.

In respect of O9A primary sources:

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of *The Satanic Letters*, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of *Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick*", 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts *The Gentleman's - and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide to The Dark Arts* (2008), *Concerning Culling as Art* (2011) and *The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right* (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled *Conquer, Destroy, Create* in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy." *The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20220428144722/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf>

Thus, scholarly research into the O9A - and thus into its philosophy and subculture - would involve obtaining and researching the writings authored by Anton Long between 1976-2012, and those writings alone. The scholar would then be able to answer questions regarding whether Anton Long - and thus the O9A - condoned or incited such things as misogyny.

{5} <https://web.archive.org/web/20220523105635/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5-1.pdf>

A classic example of someone in academia committing the fallacy of appeal to authority is Massimo Introvigne who in his book *Satanism: A Social History* (Brill, Leiden, 2016) commented on the O9A. He not did not do any research of his own using O9A primary sources but simply stated that Goodrick-Clarke had 'confirmed' Myatt was Anton Long, and that Senholt "offered a number of elements confirming that Long was indeed Myatt", whereas: (i) Goodrick-Clarke just stated that Myatt was Long based on his claim that Myatt was the author of a typewritten manuscript - later denounced by Myatt as a forgery - titled *Diablerie, Revelations of a Satanist* a copy of which is in the British Library. Goodrick-Clarke provided no evidence for his claim; (ii) Senholt - probably unintentionally - committed the fallacy of incomplete evidence by ignoring facts and circumstances which contradicted his claim that Myatt was Long, which neglected facts and circumstances are given in Appendix II.

{6} *Secret Identities in The Sinister Tradition*, included in Per Faxneld & Jesper Petersen: *The Devil's Party - Satanism in Modernity*, Oxford University Press, 2012.

{7} <https://web.archive.org/web/20220624103407/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/o9a-news-issue-seven.pdf>

{8} See for example *Exposing Twelve Basic Errors*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20210123114617/https://omega9alpha.wordpress.com/fake-news/>

{9} <https://web.archive.org/web/20220624103642/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/lies-about-o9a-v1c.pdf>

ooo

Appendix I

An Example Of The Fallacy Of Illicit Transference

In regard to the smearing of the O9A in *The Satanist Neo-Nazi Plot to Murder U.S. Soldiers* article, a supporter of O9A subculture posted on a 'social media' platform in 2022:

Antifascists et al were asked asked ages ago to cite **O9A** primary sources promoting/inciting the disgusting things Sutter promoted/incited. No one did. There are no such **O9A** primary sources. It's all black propaganda by Sutter paid for by FBI and believed by antifascists.

Which led to the authoress of the offending article replying to the original post:

“The **Order of Nine Angles** side of the story” - is that before/after the opfer, the insight role, the pedophilia or the rape?

Which resulted in the following response:

I've asked many times for anyone to cite where **Order of Nine Angles** primary sources condone sexual abuse or misogyny or what Sutter promoted. No one has. Such sources promote an anti-patriarchal ethos and sapphism among other things.

The authoress replied with:

If you're looking for an O9A/ToB tie-in, there's plenty: other NA members currently serving time for pedophilia & child abuse offenses have documented histories with the Satanist cult, most notably Ryan Fleming of the Drakon Covenant nexion

To which the answer was:

Fallacy of illicit transference. Is O9A subculture responsible for the despicable actions of those who self-identify with that subculture? Are the Gospels responsible for Christian priests or ministers who commit sexual offences or crimes such as murder?

That is, authoress not only:

(i) committed a common fallacy of reasoning by making a generalization from a few specific instances or examples and then used the generalization to pejoratively describe the subject;

but also

(ii) failed to appreciate or did not take into consideration or did not know that the O9A is a subculture, and is not a group with 'members' and therefore has no official cells/nexions/chapters.

In addition, as in common in matters O9A, she did not respond (i) in regard to the matter of the culpability of subcultures or religions when their followers/adherents commit crimes, or (ii) in regard to providing evidence from O9A primary sources for her claims.

ooo

Appendix II

Jacob Senholt And The Fallacy Of Suppressed Evidence

Senholt - after claiming along with many others, and like them without providing any evidence, that Myatt wrote the terrorist manual *A Practical Guide to Aryan Revolution* which allegedly influenced David Copeland {1} - presents his circumstantial evidence that Myatt=Long.

i) He mentions a 1978 text, *Copula cum Daemone*, which he claims was written by a DW Myatt and was "in a collection of ONA manuscripts" manuscripts but does give the title of this collection nor any details of publication or images of the text nor any evidence that it was written by Myatt. He then claims that in later digital editions of this text - which again he provides no references to or images of - it was attributed to various other authors and concludes that this is "a clear example of a text originally issued by Myatt, and later disguised with a pseudonym."

In other words, he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

ii) He goes on to claim that the *Diablerie* manuscript "reveals details of Long's life that appear remarkably similar to Myatt's own life" and cites Goodrick-Clarke and yet as with Goodrick-Clarke he does not provide comparisons using research based on documentary evidence from primary sources regarding Myatt's life.

Thus and yet again he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

iii) His next claim is that since writings by Myatt and the ONA have been published by the same publisher there "is a direct connection" which is a spurious conclusion since publishers often print items from various authors which does not mean the various authors are connected in any way.

iv) His next piece of circumstantial evidence "concerns the use of alternate dating-systems", about which he states that since both Myatt in his National Socialist writings and the ONA use "yf, designating the Year of the Führer" there is a connection, neglecting to mention - or failing to discover through research - that other groups such as the Ku Klux Klan and William Pierce of National Alliance fame and other neo-nazis have used the same dating system, both in its English form and its German form of 'Jahr des Fuhrers'. {2}

(v) His final piece of circumstantial evidence is linguistic, claiming that "when one has a closer look at many of the basic ideas and the terminology used in the ONA, it appears as if there are many glaring similarities to Myatt's own ideas." He cites terms such as Homo Galactica, causal and acausal, and Aeons while failing to mention that such borrowing of terms, ideas and concepts, is and has been common for centuries and is not evidence of a direct and personal link between those using such terms, ideas and concepts.

Following these claims, he further claims that Myatt's diverse and exeatic life is an example of O9A Insight Roles which mean "gaining real-life experience by working undercover for a period of 6-18 months".

Of five Insight Roles Senholt cites three - (a) "Join or form a covert insurrectionary organization, dedicated to National Socialism", (b) "Convert to Islam and aid, through words, or deeds, or both, those undertaking Jihad against Zionism and the NWO", and (c) "Join or form a National Socialist group or organization, and aid that organization and especially aid and propagate historical revisionism" - which he claims Myatt has undertaken.

What Senholt neglects to mention is that Myatt promoted National Socialism for thirty years (1968-1998) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role, and was a Muslim who supported Jihad for over ten years (1998-2009) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role. Which places Senholt's claim into perspective. In addition, he does not mention the obvious facts that the O9A might have been inspired by the exeatic nature of Myatt's exeatic life to concoct such Insight Roles, and that Myatt's life does not include other suggested roles such as being an assassin and joining the police or the armed forces.

In summary, Senholt's thesis does not provide any evidential facts in regard to Myatt being Anton Long or having founded the O9A. That it is often cited as 'proof' of that urban tale thus provides another classic example of people committing the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam.

↔

{1} Following Myatt's arrest in early 1998 by police officers from Special Branch based at Scotland Yard, the British police in conjunction with the Canadian police and the FBI spent three years trying to prove that Myatt wrote that document, having seized his computers and files, searched his home for over seven hours, and travelled to places such as Canada to interview witnesses. They failed to find any evidence and Myatt was released from his bail in the Summer of 2001.

{2} <https://www.nytimes.com/1991/11/03/world/klan-seizes-on-germany-s-wave-of-racist-violence.html>

This work is published under the Creative Commons
Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) License
and can be copied, distributed, and published,
according to the terms of that license.
